# Union Calendar No. 472

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm 110Th~Congress} \\ {\rm \it 2d~Session} \end{array}$ 

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Report} \\ 110\text{--}746 \end{array}$ 

### REPORT

ON THE

# SUBALLOCATION OF BUDGET ALLOCATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009

TOGETHER WITH

## MINORITY VIEWS

SUBMITTED BY MR. OBEY, CHAIRMAN, COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS



 $\mbox{\it July 8, 2008.}\mbox{--Committed}$  to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

69–006 WASHINGTON: 2008 **SBDV 2009–1** 

#### COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

DAVID R. OBEY, Wisconsin, Chairman

JOHN P. MURTHA, Pennsylvania NORMAN D. DICKS, Washington ALAN B. MOLLOHAN, West Virginia MARCY KAPTUR, Ohio PETER J. VISCLOSKY, Indiana NITA M. LOWEY, New York JOSÉ E. SERRANO, New York ROSA L. DELAURO, Connecticut JAMES P. MORAN, Virginia JOHN W. OLVER, Massachusetts ED PASTOR, Arizona DAVID E. PRICE, North Carolina CHET EDWARDS, Texas ROBERT E. "BUD" CRAMER, JR., Alabama PATRICK J. KENNEDY, Rhode Island MAURICE D. HINCHEY, New York LUCILLE ROYBAL-ALLARD, California SAM FARR, California JESSE L. JACKSON, Jr., Illinois CAROLYN C. KILPATRICK, Michigan CAROLYN C. KILPATRICK, Michigan ALLEN BOYD, Florida CHAKA FATTAH, Pennsylvania STEVEN R. ROTHMAN, New Jersey SANFORD D. BISHOP, JR., Georgia MARION BERRY, Arkansas BARBARA LEE, California TOM UDALL, New Mexico
ADAM SCHIFF, California
MICHAEL HONDA, California
BETTY MCCOLLUM, Minnesota STEVE ISRAEL, New York TIM RYAN, Ohio C.A. "DUTCH" RUPPERSBERGER, Maryland BEN CHANDLER, Kentucky DEBBIE WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Florida

CIRO RODRIGUEZ, Texas

JERRY LEWIS, California C. W. BILL YOUNG, Florida RALPH REGULA, Ohio HAROLD ROGERS, Kentucky FRANK R. WOLF, Virginia JAMES T. WALSH, New York DAVID L. HOBSON, Ohio JOE KNOLLENBERG, Michigan JACK KINGSTON, Georgia RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN, New Jersey TODD TIAHRT, Kansas ZACH WAMP, Tennessee TOM LATHAM, Iowa ROBERT B. ADERHOLT, Alabama JO ANN EMERSON, Missouri KAY GRANGER, Texas JOHN E. PETERSON, Pennsylvania VIRGIL H. GOODE, Jr., Virginia RAY LAHOOD, Illinois DAVE WELDON, Florida MICHAEL K. SIMPSON, Idaho JOHN ABNEY CULBERSON, Texas MARK STEVEN KIRK, Illinois ANDER CRENSHAW, Florida DENNIS R. REHBERG, Montana JOHN R. CARTER, Texas RODNEY ALEXANDER, Louisiana KEN CALVERT, California JO BONNER, Alabama

ROB NABORS, Clerk and Staff Director

### LETTER OF SUBMITTAL

House of Representatives, COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS, Washington, DC, July 8, 2008.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI, The Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: By direction of the Committee on Appro-

priations, I submit herewith the Committee's report on the sub-allocation of budget allocations for fiscal year 2009.

As required by section 302(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, this report subdivides the allocation of fiscal year 2009 spending authority to the House Committee on Appropriations contained in the Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference on S. Con. Res. 70, the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2009.

Sincerely,

DAVID R. OBEY, Chairman.

(III)

### Union Calendar No. 472

110TH CONGRESS 2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Report} \\ 110\text{--}746 \end{array}$ 

# REPORT ON THE SUBALLOCATION OF BUDGET ALLOCATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009

JULY 8, 2008.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. OBEY, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

### REPORT

SUBALLOCATION OF BUDGET ALLOCATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report on the suballocation of budget allocations for fiscal year 2009 pursuant to section 302(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974. This report is consistent with the "Allocation of Spending Authority to House Committee on Appropriations" presented in the Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference on S. Con. Res. 70, the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2009.

# SUBALLOCATIONS TO SUBCOMMITTEES FISCAL YEAR 2009 BUDGET AUTHORITY AND OUTLAYS [In millions of dollars]

Subcommittee	Discretionary	Mandatory	Total
Agriculture, Rural Development,		, -	
Food and Drug Administration	n:		
Budget authority	20,623	35,428	56,051
Outlays	. 22,000	22,737	44,737
Commerce, Justice, Science:			
Budget authority	56,858	221	57,079
Outlays	57,000	224	57,224
Defense:			
Budget authority	487,737	279	488,016
Outlays	. 525,250	279	525,529
Energy and Water Development:			
Budget authority	33,265	*********	33,265
Outlays	. 32,825	***********	32,825
Financial Services and General Government:			
Budget authority	21,900	20,661	42,561
Outlays		20,663	43,563
Homeland Security:			
Budget authority	42,075	1,152	43,227
Outlays	. 42,390	1,148	43,538
Interior, Environment:			
Budget authority	27,867	62	27,929
Outlays	. 28,630	63	28,693
Labor, Health and Human Service	es,		
Education:			
Budget authority	152,643	474,479	627,122
Outlays	. 152,000	475,734	627,734

# SUBALLOCATIONS TO SUBCOMMITTEES FISCAL YEAR 2009 BUDGET AUTHORITY AND OUTLAYS Continued

# [In millions of dollars]

Subcommittee	Discretionary	Mandatory	Total
Legislative Branch:			
All except Senate:			
Budget authority	3,431	127	3,558
Outlays	3,600	127	3,727
Senate items:			
Budget authority	973		973
Outlays	740	*********	740
Total Legislative:			
Budget authority	4,404	127	4,531
Outlays	4,340	127	4,467
Military Construction, Veterans Affairs:			
Budget authority	72,729	43,444	116,173
Outlays	66,890	43,277	110,167
State, Foreign Operations:			
Budget authority	36,620	149	36,769
Outlays	36,000	149	36,149
Transportation, HUD:			
Budget authority	54,997	**********	54,997
Outlays	114,900	*******	114,900
Full Committee allowance:			
Budget authority	********	**********	**********
Outlays	987	**********	987
Grand total:			
Budget authority	1,011,718	576,002	1,587,720
Outlays	1,106,112	564,401	1,670,513
			SBDV 2009-

SBDV 2009-1

#### ROLL CALL VOTES TAKEN IN COMMITTEE

As required by clause 3(b) of rule XIII, following are the results of the roll call vote taken during committee consideration of the report.

### ROLL CALL NO. 1

Date: June 24, 2008

Measure: Report on section 302(b) suballocation of budget allocations

for fiscal year 2009 Motion by: Mr. Price

Description: Approve suballocations and order reported to House

Result: Agreed to, 34 YEAS to 26 NAYS.

### Members Voting YEA

### Mr. Berry Mr. Bishop Mr. Boyd Mr. Chandler Mr. Cramer Ms. DeLauro Mr. Dicks Mr. Edwards Mr. Farr Mr. Fattah Mr. Hinchey Mr. Honda Mr. Israel Mr. Jackson Ms. Kaptur Mr. Kennedy Ms. Kilpatrick Ms. Lee Mrs. Lowev Ms. McCollum Mr. Moran Mr. Obey Mr. Olver Mr. Pastor Mr. Price Mr. Rodriguez

### Members Voting NAY

Mr. Aderholt Mr. Alexander Mr. Bonner Mr. Calvert Mr. Carter Mr. Crenshaw Mr. Culberson Mrs. Emerson Mr. Frelinghuysen Ms. Granger Mr. Hobson Mr. Kingston Mr. Kirk Mr. LaHood Mr. Latham Mr. Lewis Mr. Regula Mr. Rehberg Mr. Rogers Mr. Simpson Mr. Tiahrt Mr. Walsh Mr. Wamp Dr. Weldon Mr. Wolf Mr. Young

Mr. Ryan Mr. Schiff Mr. Serrano Mr. Udall

Mr. Rothman Ms. Roybal-Allard Mr. Ruppersberger

Ms. Wasserman Schultz

### MINORITY VIEWS OF REPRESENTATIVE JERRY LEWIS

The 302(b) suballocations adopted by the House Appropriations Committee on June 24, 2008, marked the first time in history that the discretionary spending allocated to the Appropriations Committee exceeded one trillion dollars. The President proposed a generous \$991 billion total spending level reflecting an increase of \$59 billion, or 6%, above the 2008 enacted spending level. Yet such an increase was not enough to satisfy the spending desires of our Democrat majority as they added \$21 billion on top of the \$59 billion, for a total of \$80 billion, or 9%, above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level. Since the majority's overall budget blueprint was so excessive, we did not offer an alternative 302(b) suballocation. It is more instructive to illustrate the impacts of the majority's spending plans, which will further squeeze American families.

The majority's plan to spend \$80 billion over the 2008 enacted budget levels represents exactly the kind of unfettered spending that illustrates the differences between Republicans and Democrats. Rather than making tough spending choices, the majority continues to throw more money at problems without demanding results. If this spending philosophy continues and is adopted into the baseline, this country will see an increase of \$241 billion in new spending over the next five years.

In the end, who will pay for these massive increases in spending? The Democrats have chosen to pay the bill by further squeezing American families. Their agenda requires tax increases of more than \$683 billion over the next five years. Families of four who earn \$40,000 today will see a tax increase of more than \$2,000 in 2011. A single parent of two children who earns \$30,000 today will see a tax increase of more than \$1,600 in 2011. This year alone, millions more middle income families may be captured by the Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT). In addition, the Democrats have also failed to address skyrocketing food and energy prices. The cost of a gallon of gasoline has increased more than 75% since the Democrats claimed the majority in January of 2007. The majority has offered no real solutions for the tightening household budgets of hard working Americans.

The allocations before us also point to another key difference in the spending priorities between Democrats and Republicans. We have heard countless arguments by the majority party that they fully support funding for our troops, yet despite increasing spending by \$21 billion above the President's request, the Democrat majority chose to cut defense spending by \$4 billion below the Presi-

dent's request.

By cutting defense, the majority chose to divert funds that benefit our troops to instead bolster spending for other non-defense, non-homeland security discretionary programs at levels over and above the generous funding levels assumed in the budget resolution. We think it is important that the record be set straight so that the American people can see the priorities that are reflected in the Democrat majority's decisions.

By moving forward with the allocations as adopted by the majority, we are guaranteeing vetoes from the White House. We are guaranteeing that most, if not all, of the spending bills will never see the light of day and we will be forced to fund the operations of government through a continuing resolution into the next calendar year. We are guaranteeing less real income to America's families as they pay for the Democrats' spending spree and inability to address rising food and energy costs.

Adoption of these 302(b) allocations spells failure to do our job.

We cannot and do not support them.

JERRY LEWIS.